

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COM-United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/079,397	,397 02/20/2002		Bernarr C. Schaeffer		6716	
	7590	07/12/2004		EXAM	INER	
Joseph B. Taphorn				FASTOVSKY, LEONID M		

HAGAN FARMS 8 Scenic Drive Poughkeepsie, NY 12603-5521

3742 DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ŗV

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/079,397		SCHAEFFER ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Leonid M Fa		3742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 July 2004</u> .								
	7	nis action is no							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
	Claim(s) 2-6,18 and 26 is/are pending in the								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
-	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
•	7) Claim(s) <u>2-6,18 and 26</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
O/LI Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicat	ion Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			. (1)					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
A'ttaab	nt/c\			•					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	(00)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date	'υδ)	6) Other:	. State pproduct (10 102)					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirota (JP406063093) in view of Perlman (4,998,006).

 Hirota teaches substantially the claimed invention comprising a compact sauna (Fig. 1-5) for causing a user to sweat, plural heat sources 2 are provided in the lower portion of the floor consisting of a far infrared radiator 1, and heat generated from the heat source 2 is subjected to electromagnetic radiation into a sauna room through the far infrared radiator 1 (Abstract). However Hirota does not disclose a low –level of extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields.

 Perlman discloses heating elements that can be used in heating panels where the device is brought into proximity with the human body. The element is

conventionally powered by 60 Hertz, 120 volts alternating current that produced little or no external electromagnetic field and it would have been obvious to combine in order to reduce potential harmful effects of magnetic fields produced by room heating panels (Col. 1, lines 44-55 and Col. 10).

5. Claim 3, 18 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirota in view of Perlman.

Hirota in view of Perlman teaches substantially the claimed invention as stated above, including planar infrared radiator 1 and protrusions (Fig. 5). However Hirota does not disclose a low –level of extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields. Perlman discloses heating elements that can be used in heating panels where the device is brought into proximity with the human body. The element is conventionally powered by 60 Hertz, 120 volts alternating current that produced little or no external electromagnetic field and it would have been obvious to combine in order to reduce potential harmful effects of magnetic fields produced by room heating panels (Col. 1, lines 44-55 and Col. 10).

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirota in view of Perlman and further in view of Grise et al (4,485,297). Hirota in view of Perlman teaches substantially the claimed invention, however they do not disclose that heaters are comprised of a thin substrate bearing a semi-conductor pattern, a pair of longitudinal stripes and a metallic conductor overlaying each stripe. Grise discloses heaters having a plurality of bars 18, a pair of longitudinal stripes 14 interconnected with the bars and a metallic conductor overlaying each stripe. It would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Hirota and Perlman to include a plurality of bars, a pair of longitudinal strips and a metallic conductor overlaying each stripe in order to replace other thin wire heaters (like Perlman) and to have a high uniformity in heat propagation at reduced cost as taught by Grise (Col. 1, lines 20-25).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. JP404279166 (infrared sauna), JP403097462 (infrared sauna).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M Fastovsky whose telephone number is 703-306-5482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 703-305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Imf

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leonid M Fastovsky

Examiner Art Unit 3742